#### Institutional and scientific challenges for a carbon monitoring system

Han Dolman Free University Amsterdam

CO<sub>2</sub> Territorial emissions in 2014 (MtCO<sub>2</sub>)

#### Fragmented landscape: society

Societal dimension

GEO-C's role: identify and collaborate with the end-users across societal sectors

**Municipalities** 

Citizens

Private sector

Governmental institutions

NGOs

High-level decision making

Science

EcosystemsOceansAtmosphereGasesAerosolsHuman activitiesNatural processesScientific dimension of Earth Observations

#### Fragmented landscape: science



#### A post-Paris look at climate observations

To the Editor — The Paris Agreement<sup>1</sup> of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in December 2015 was

variables (ECVs) have been defined: they aim to describe key aspects of the behaviour and composition of the land, oceans and reminder to the observing community to deliver the data that will underpin progress.

- Renewed emphasis on fossil emission sources
  - Requires rethinking of strategy (scaling)
  - Observation networks
- Firm robust limits (1.5/ 2 °C), or 200 Pg C

### The Paris agreement



## The five year cycle



### 17-18 or 35-41 years



Clark et al., last week Nat. Geosc.

### Challenges

- What has changed since Paris?
- Some issues with mesoscale models
- Where uncertainties meet regional budgets: future satellite requirements
- Closed country budgets?
- Can we deliver in time and how?

### The system of systems



### What is needed?

**Detection of hot spot.** A hot spot is defined as a small area surrounded by a strong CO<sub>2</sub> concentration gradient, because the area contains a large emitting CO<sub>2</sub> source. This can be a large power plant, a megacity or any other activity characterized by strong CO<sub>2</sub> emissions with different time evolution.

*Monitoring the emissions of the hot spot.* Consecutive measurements are needed to link the measured emission level to previous measurements and to monitor local emission reductions of the activities within the hot spot. The accuracy of the measurements must ensure the capability to attribute CO<sub>2</sub> emissions anomalies relative to the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration background level.

Assessing emission changes against local reduction targets. This concerns the monitoring of the implemented emission reduction strategies on the hot spots, which all add up to achieve NDC targets. In the EU this requires the monitoring, at the most appropriate time scale, of not only the point source facilities (which are under the Emissions Trading System) but also the megacities with peak emissions of transport and buildings.

Assessing the national emissions and changes with 5 year time steps. This requires the entire screening of the full area covered by the country, in order to account for changes in emission patterns with new or occasional hotspots.





## Can we observe any reduction?



#### Emission hotspots



Graphic Greet Maenhout

### How good are we at that?



## (un)closed budgets at the continental scale



- Large discrepancies between bottom-up models and atmospheric inversions
- Tropics and high latitudes regions have almost no observation
- Large uncertainties ~100% on regional budgets !

#### Country scale budgets



Meesters et al., 2012, JGR

6 ecoregions pixel and parameter inversion ensemble Kalman filter comparison with aircraft data



#### **Unclosed country scale balance**



The GHG Balance of the Netherlands

Trade date courtesy Glen Peters

## Atmosphere: sources of error

Source of uncertainty	Type or error	Size	Impact on observational strategy	Reference
Transport Model	Advection	~5 ppm (summertime)	avoid regions with complex flows	Lin and Gerbig, 2005
	FDL IIIXIIg	(summertime)	observations	Geroig et al., 2008
	Convection	No estimate		-
	Mesoscale processes	~2-3 ppm (summertime)	Avoid regions with mesoscale flows	Van der Molen and Dolman (2007), Tolk et al., 2008
Transport and Flux Model	Grid resolu- tion	~1 ppm @ 200 km (summertime)	Choice of representative stations	Gerbig et al., 2003
Flux Model	Prior uncer- tainty	2-8 ppm*** (summertime)	network elements distributed according to prior uncertainties	P. Peylin, personal communication, 2008
	Aggregation	Depending on Aggregation and Model		Gerbig et al., 2006
Measurement	Precision, ac- curacy	0.1 ppm (targeted)	WMO	WMO

Gerbig et al., 2009

#### Mesoscale inversions



## Uncertainty reduction at country scale

STILT-TM3 and VUA inversion, gap impact



No "observations' in Germany

Impact wider than just surrounding areas

But also large model differences

# Estimating CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes at local-scale





0.00

0.70

1.40

-0.70

-1.40

#### Berlin

- Reported emission of 46 Mt CO<sub>2</sub> per year
- Targeted uncertainty 7 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>/yr (single overpass estimate)

Random error (1-sigma) CO<sub>2</sub> emission



Bovensmann et al



Slide Sander Houweling



#### Needs

- To be able to detect reductions in fossil fuel we need to refocus (observations, models)
  - Extend in situ observations through ICOS, and <sup>14</sup>C efforts and use in inversions models
  - Provide harmonised bottom up data for countries within Europe and outside
- Substantial errors in setup, a priori structure of the mesoscale inversions (very little real inversions)
  - Do HR mesoscale inversions (set up model inter comparisons à la Eurocom)
- At the country scale the uncertainties are enlarged, but this may provide the key to future development: e.g. VERIFY
  - Identify bottlenecks, uncertainties etc. through thorough analysis of bottom up and top down