

WP5 OVERVIEW

Towards a prototype to monitor fossil fuel emissions

WP leaders: Anna Agusti – Panareda and Gianpaolo Balsamo

ECMWF 06/02/2018





Capabilities for operational monitoring system

- 1. Detection of emitting hot spots (e.g. megacities, power plants).
- 2. Monitoring the hot spot emissions to assess emission reduction of the activities.
- 3. Assessing emission changes against local reduction targets to monitor impacts of the Nationally Determined Contributions.
- 4. Assessing national emissions and changes in 5-year time steps to estimate the global stock take.

Extracted from report by CO₂ monitoring Task Force – sub-task B (European Commission)

Arrent Internet
CO
2

WP1 WP3 WP4



WP5 tasks/deliverables

- 5 tasks : Jan 2019-Dec 2020
- 5 deliverables: Synthesis reports on requirements for integration of building blocks
 - Preliminary report: Dec 2019 (M27)
 - Final report: Sep 2020 (M36)
 - Final report on prototype : Dec 2020 (M39)

	0ct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17	Jan-18 5-h 10	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18 Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Nov-18	Dec-18	Jan-19 Feb-19	Mar-19	Apr-19 Max.10	et-yew	et-Inl	Aug-19 Sep-10	년 - 19 0 다- 19	OL-VON	Dec-19	Feb-20	Mar-20	Apr-20 Mav-20	Jun-20	Jul-20 Aug-20	Sep-20 Oct-20	Nov-20 Dec-20
	ц М	MN	m N	4 N 4 N	8 8	Ň	8	6 N	M11	M12 M13	M14	MIS	M17 M17	M18	ឡ <u>ខ</u>	M21	M22	M23 M24	K K	M28	M27 M28	8 8 8	8	M31 M32	M33	M 34 M 34	M37 M37	8 8 V V
WP5 Towards a prototype of a European anthropogenic emission monitoring system																												
5.1 Synthesis of requirements and integration options for Earth Observations (EO) in CO2 anthropogenic emission monitoring system																												
5.2 Synthesis of requirements and integration options for CO2 Emission and Transport Models in a CO2 anthropogenic emission monitoring system																												
5.3 Synthesis of requirements and integration options for Data Assimilation Methodology in a CO2 anthropogenic emission monitoring system																												
5.4 Synthesis of how to represent uncertainty in an integrated CO2 anthropogenic emission monitoring system																												
5.5 Synthesis of service elements for an end-to-end integrated CO2 anthropogenic emission monitoring system																												
																				5	5.1.1						5.1.2	5.5
W/DE Delivershier																				5	.2.1						5.2.2	
WP3 Deliverables																				5	.3.1						5.3.2	
																				5	.4.1						5.4.2	

T5.1 Requirements and integration options for observing system

Led by Frederic Chevallier (LSCE)

 What are the observations required to characterize and monitor fossil fuel signal associated with emission hotspots?

Instrument sensors, satellite orbits and ground-based networks

 Can we design an observing system to monitor fossil fuel signal with homogeneous temporal and spatial sampling at global scale given sun-lit and cloud-free requirements of current satellites?

Spatial and temporal coverage and resolution

• How can we monitor random and systematic errors in the observing system?

Independent evaluation and calibration



WMO Integrated Global Observing System (WIGOS) (Graphic: WMO)

Simulation of XCO₂ [ppm] from CAMS CO₂ forecast (Graphic: ECMWF)

6

T5.2 Requirements and integration options for CO₂ emission and transport models

 What are the processes and model resolution required to interpret assimilated observations?

Complexity and information in models

• How do we couple the different model components to ensure consistency and synergy between them?

Online versus offline model components and their interactions

 How can we monitor random and systematic errors of different model components?

Independent evaluation of model biases, mass conservation, impact of averaging/interpolation/gridding and sub-gridscale variability



Led by Anna Agusti-Panareda (ECMWF)

T5.3 Requirements and integration options for data assimilation (DA) methodology

 Can we estimate atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, CO₂ fluxes and model parameters relevant to fossil fuel emissions in the same DA system ?

Specification of control vector

 What is the optimal DA window considering linearity of required observation operators and potential integration with NWP?

Optimal length of assimilation window

 How can we integrate assimilation of CO₂ and related tracers into NWP methodology ?

Requirements for time/space discretization, covariances between weather + trace gases, weighting of different data volumes+frequencies



Schematic form of the ERA-CLIM data assimilation (Graphic: ECMWF)

T5.4 Representation of uncertainty in the integrated monitoring system

- How can we ensure that the prescribed errors and their covariances are realistic and consistent for all system components?
 - Error characterization and monitoring of input priors, forcing data, observations, observation operators, and output posterior estimates; comparison against independent observations
- How can we deal with systematic errors?
 - Online versus offline bias corrections
- Are there any assumptions/limitations in the uncertainty propagation in FFDAS?
 - Linearity and missing processes in models



emperature

Initial condition

Led by Marko Scholze

An ensemble of forecasts produces a range of possible scenarios. The distribution of the ensemble members gives an indication of the likelihood of occurrence of those scenarios. From Sarah-Jane Lock (Graphic: ECMWF) 8

Forecast time

Forecast

T5.5 Service elements of end-to-end monitoring system

Led by Gianpaolo Balsamo (ECMWF)

 Can we propose a distributed/consistent processing chain integrating all building blocks?

Blueprint of integrated end-to-end monitoring system

 What are the key performance indicators of input/output channels and verification process of monitored target ?

Verification strategy

 Is there any bottleneck that could hamper operational implementation and efficiency of monitoring system?

Operational constraints, e.g. computing resources, data access, timeliness in delivery



Diagram of Anthropogenic CO₂ emission Monitoring and Verification Support (MVS) from report by CO₂ monitoring Task Force – sub-task B (Graphic: European Commission)

WP5 preparation stage in 2018

- Feedback from External Advisory Board (EAB) and External Expert Group (EEG) on challenges to be addressed
- Telecon and/or meeting with task leaders and relevant partners to discuss workflow around each synthesis/task
 - Define steps to address each of the challenges
 - Establish collaboration between research groups



(Graphic: LANSA blog)



